

Since the average treatment effect (ATE) is just the contrast $E[Y(1)] - E[Y(0)]$

as

As an extension of [[Athey et al., 2018](#)], [Bradic et al. \[2019a\]](#)

the same length, v

dimension p grows with n and n_{aux}

where i

For the sake of completeness, a corresponding lower bound proof can be found in Appendix

b respectively. Similarly, we denote

Jana Janková and Sara van de Geer. Semiparametric efficienc

Ya'acov Ritov, Peter J Bickel, Anthony C Gamst, and Bastiaan JanJandn

immediate that the matrix \tilde{X} is almost surely full row rank, so that there is at least one λ such that almost surely the following equality holds,

$$\tilde{X} \lambda = 0$$

As explained in Remark 2, the last term in the above decomposition has mean zero by design of the program (8). Invoking constraint (9) and Condition 7

where for the last inequality we decompose \tilde{X}

A.6 Proof of Theorem 6

A.6.1 Proof of Theorem 6(i)

Using the same analysis as in Lemma 1

Lemma 14. *Under the conditions of Theorem 6(ii), we have that*

$$\tilde{e}^{-2} - e^{-2} = o_p(1/\bar{n}), y$$

Next, we compute the ²

For (11)

